IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 13548 of 1999

Between:

sM.Demudu, S/o Appa Rao,

Age 35Yrs., working as Helper,

Semi Skilled Helper (Non.Technical) Training

Cum Production Center, Andhra Pradesh

Vikalangula Co-operative Corporation,

Bakkannapalem, Visakhapatnam.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
   Rep. By its Secretary, Women's Development
   And Child Welfare (W.H) Department,
   Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula Cooperative
   Corporation, rep.by its Managing Direcotr,
   Ground Floor, BRKR Buildings, Near
   Tank Bund, Hyderabad.
3. The Project Officer, Training-cum-

Production Centre, Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula

Cooperative Corporation, Bakkannapalem,

Visakhapatnam District.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not designating the petitioner as Helper (Technical) and not fixing the pay scale of the petitioner as Rs.1010-1800 as arbitrary, illegal and in violation of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and violation of Principle of " Equal pay for Equal Work" and to issue a consequential direction to the respondents to fix the scale of pay of the petitioner as Rs 1010-1800/- w.e.f. 1-1-1987 with all consequential benefits by designating him as Helper (Technical) and to pass such other order or orders which are deemed fit proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.SUBBA RAO KORRAPATI

Counsel for the respondent No.1 : G.P. for Women Development and Child Welfare.

Counsel for the Respondent No.2 and 3: MR.K.RAMANUJACHARI

The Court made the following :

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

–

ORDER:

Both the counsel submit that in similar circumstances this Court disposed of writ petition No. 20152 of 2000 on 30.11.2000, as follows:

> " In my considered opinion, the writ petition is barred by delay and laches. In all financial matters, if a Mandamus is issued from this Court, that would result in a lot of financial indiscipline in a fully owned Government undertaking and the Court cannot be a party to such event. It is, however, open to the petitioner to approach the 2nd and 3d respondents seeking necessary relief even now. As and when such representation is made, the respondents 2 and 3 shall consider the same in accordance with law.
>
> In the result, subject to the above observations, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs."

Learned counsel for the respondents pleads that the similar order may be passed in this writ petition also.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the position seriously.

Following the said order, dated 30.11.2000, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

14-10-2004

klp

To

1.The Government of Andhra Pradesh,

Rep. By its Secretary, Women's Development

And Child Welfare (W.H) Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2. Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula Cooperative

Corporation, rep.by its Managing Direcotr,

Ground Floor, BRKR Buildings, Near

Tank Bund, Hyderabad.

3. The Project Officer, Trainin-cum-

Production Centre, Andhra Pradesh Vikalangula

Cooperative Corporation, Bakkannapalem,

Visakhapatnam District.

4. 2 C.Cs to G.P. for Women Development and Child Welfare, High Court buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT).

5. CD copies